

LCTT June 20 2011 Teleconference

Participants

John Outland

Theresa Woody

Frank Razem

Sally Jue

Jim Muller

Wanda Caffie Simpson

Sylvia Pelizza

This was a web conference call using the Cisco web conferencing ability through the SFWMD to discuss the CERP map production. The group discussed streamlining the maps.

Theresa Woody explained that several options for working smarter and being more efficient including talking of not doing the LCS this year, or making it a biennial; what are the most essential elements?

Of all components of the document, the maps are the most valuable. But perhaps we put more on the Graham map, not produce the other two, or use Frank's CERP map instead of FNAI's CERP map

The group addressed the following questions:

- What is on the FNAI CERP map that is not on Frank's CERP map or the Graham map (pre-meeting analysis by Frank and Sally): Frank Razem showed some other existing maps from SFWMD.
- What could easily be added to Frank's map to make it comparable? (pre-meeting analysis by Frank)
- Should (could) any of the missing information be added to the Graham map? (pre-meeting analysis by Sally): The Graham map is pretty busy now. It is important to note that all data layers are on the Graham map. Different attributes are brought forward on different maps.
- What do we lose by using only Frank's revised CERP map? (pre-meeting analysis by Frank and Sally): There are some data layers like the NRCS data on the Joint Project map that are not on the CERP only map.
- How much money does the Task Force save by FNAI not producing the CERP map? (pre-meeting analysis by FNAI): Not a lot of cost savings as all three maps are based on the Graham map.
- Discuss whether FNAI should keep producing a separate mitigation map (the information is included as a layer on the Graham map), and how much money the Task Force would save by not producing this map: Recommendation to not produce the mitigation map.